| REVIEW DOCUMENT | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Start Date: 4/11/2023 | | | |  | | | |
| Project Name: Hungerger | | | | Number of Pages: 34 | | | |
| Product Name: Iteration1 | | | | Product Definition: Iteration 1 Documents | | | |
| Roles: | Review Leader: | | | Ezgi Tunalı | | | |
| Reviewers: | | | *1. Ezgi Tunalı* | | | *3. Ezgi Erkaş* |
| *2. Mustafa Zemin* | | | *4. Umut Güler* |
| Review Materials: | * *Vision (V)* * *Glossary (G)* * *Use Case Model (U)* * *System-Wide Requirements (S)* * *Risk List (R)* * *Project Plan (P)* * *Work Items List (W)* * *Next Iteration’s Plan (N)* | | | | | | |
| Total Number of Errors: | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Status: | | | ACCEPTED  \_\_\_ as it is  \_\_\_ with rework | | REJECTED  \_\_\_\_ review again | | |
| ERROR TYPES | | | | | | | |
| Error Type Number | | Error Type Definition | | | | Number of Errors Found | |
| 1 | | Spelling (grammar, punctuation, etc) | | | | 6 | |
| 2 | | Inconsistency with the standards | | | | 2 | |
| 3 | | Uncertain | | | | 2 | |
| 4 | | Incorrect | | | | 5 | |
| 5 | | Inapplicable | | | | 1 | |
| 6 | | Internal Inconsistency | | | | 2 | |
| 7 | | External Inconsistency | | | | 7 | |
| 8 | | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | | | | 18 | |
| 9 | | Other | | | | 4 | |
|  | | TOTAL | | | | 47 | |
| Importance Types | | Importance Type | | | | Number of Errors Found | |
|  | | Little | | | | 17 | |
|  | | Medium | | | | 18 | |
|  | | Important | | | | 12 | |
|  | | TOTAL | | | | 47 | |

| **No** | **Reviewed**  **Material**  **ID** | **Section** | **Page** | **Line/**  **No** | **Error**  **Type** | **Importance** | **Explanation** | **Correction Status** | **Correction**  **Explanation** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | N | Iteration Plan 1-Key Milestones | 1 |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Important | Key milestones are missing. | CORRECTED | We added the key milestones. |
| 2 | N | Iteration Plan 1-Objectives |  |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Important | The documents that are written in this iteration are not mentioned in both high-level objectives and work list (Ex. Risk list, project plan etc) | CORRECTED | Accepted we will add notes |
| 3 | N | Iteration Plan 1-Issues | 2 |  | Incorrect | Little | “Hungerger Business Value Proposition” should not be classified as an issue. | CORRECTED |  |
| 4 | N | Iteration Plan 1 | 2-3 |  | Other | Little | There are nonmatching fonts in the document. | REJECTED | We used the template from the OpenUP as it is. |
| 5 | N | Iteration Plan 1 | 1 and 3 |  | Internal Inconsistency | Important | The things written in high level objectives do not match with the things written in assessment against objectives | CORRECTED | We have added the work items related to the assessment |
| 6 | N | Iteration Plan 1-Assesment |  |  | Incorrect | Little | In the second table development should have been added as participants. | PARTIALLY ACCETPED   &  CORRECTED | The participants of teams are stated in the vision document, but we changed the name of the Dev team to Product team |
| 7 | N | Iteration Plan 2-Key Milestones |  |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Important | Key milestones are missing. | CORRECTED | We added the key milestones. |
| 8 | N | Iteration Plan 2-Work Items |  |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Important | Work items are not assigned to people and hours worked, estimated hours remaining, size estimate parts are missing. | CORRECTED | Check work items list |
| 9 | N | Iteration Plan 2 | 1-2-3 |  | Other | Little | There are nonmatching fonts in the document. | REJECTED | We used the template from the OpenUP as it is. |
| 10 | N | Iteration Plan 1-Issues and Glossary |  |  | Uncertain | Little | The term of premium functionalities have not been explained in glossary. | CORRECTED | We deleted the premium functionality |
| 11 | N | Iteration Plan-Worklist |  |  | External Inconsistency | Important | The work list and the work list written in iteration plan is nonmatching. | CORRECTED | We deleted the assigned items table and reffered to the work items list |
| 12 | W | Work Item List |  |  | Inconsistency with the standards | Little | There are some nouns in description part. The standard is starting with a verb. | PARTIALLY ACCETPED   &  CORRECTED | We added the name of the use-cases properly, but other parts do not need to start with a verb |
| 13 | W | Work Item List |  | [27,40] | Other | Medium | There is wrongly specified task-subtasks. Maybe “do the design” should be one task, and various “create page” should be the subtasks. | CORRECTED | Revised the work items list |
| 14 | W | Work Item List |  |  | Inapplicable | Medium | Work items are mostly written from the actors’ point of view, it should be for the project team ? | REJECTED | We planned in terms of use cases |
| 15 | W | Work Item List |  |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Important | There is only one kind of status, “new” | CORRECTED | We added “closed” |
| 16 | W | Work Item List |  |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Important | None of the work items have target iteration, assigned to, effort estimate left (hours) or hours worked information | CORRECTED | Added Targeted iterations to the work items list |
| 17 | W | Work Item List |  |  | External Inconsistency | Little | Reference material is not within the GitHub repository, | REJECTED | It is on the Github repository |
| 18 | U | Brief Use Case- Edit Profile |  |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Medium | In the create use case, it is written that the user provides also address and email information. However, in the edit profile use case it is not mentioned that the user can edit those address and email information. | PARTIALLY ACCETPED   &  CORRECTED | “create account” use contains “e-mail address” as a whole; the “address” is not a separate concept.  We added the edit option for the e-mail as a correction. |
| 19 | U | Brief Use Cases |  |  | Spelling (grammar, punctuation, etc) | Little | Semantically, "redirect" should be "direct" | CORRECTED |  |
| 20 | U | Brief Use Cases |  |  | Spelling (grammar, punctuation,etc) | Little | In the “Edit Recipe” usecase, Edit Recipe should be Edited recipe. | REJECTED | The use case name should start with a verb. |
| 21 | U | Brief Use Cases |  |  | Spelling (grammar, punctuation,etc) | Little | The usage of lower and upper cases do not seem right. | REJECTED | We are referring to specific things in the Glossary. Therefore, we are starting them with upper cases. |
| 22 | U | Fully Dressed Use Case |  |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Medium | Actor, level and stakeholder descriptions are not provided in the given format. | REJECTED | We used the format that is provided in OpenUP, therefore it is right. However, we’ll move on to the format that is provided on the ODTUClass by the end of Iteration 2. |
| 23 | U | Fully Dressed Use Case-Alternative Flows |  |  | Other | Little | General format does not seem proper. | REJECTED | We used the format that is provided in OpenUP, therefore it is right. However, we’ll move on to the format that is provided on the ODTUClass by the end of Iteration 2. |
| 24 | U | Fully Dressed Use Case-Alternative Flows |  |  | Incorrect | Medium | If clause should not be used in the use cases. | REJECTED | We used the format that is provided in OpenUP, therefore it is right. However, we’ll move on to the format that is provided on the ODTUClass by the end of Iteration 2. |
| 25 | U | Fully Dressed Use Case-Alternative Flows |  |  | Incorrect | Important | Numbering in alternative flow section is incorrect and it does not match with the steps in the basic flow. | REJECTED | We used the format that is provided in OpenUP, therefore it is right. However, we’ll move on to the format that is provided on the ODTUClass by the end of Iteration 2. |
| 26 | U | Fully Dressed Use Case |  |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Medium | In fully dressed use case, technology and data variations, and frequency of occurrence parts are missing. | REJECTED | We used the format that is provided in OpenUP, therefore it is right. However, we’ll move on to the format that is provided on the ODTUClass by the end of Iteration 2. |
| 27 | U | Fully Dressed Use Case-Alternative Flows |  |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Medium | 5.4 and 5.5 flows are incomplete. For example in 5.4, system asks user to complete it, the user case ends. | PENDING | TO BE CORRECTED BY THE END OF ITERATION 2 |
| 28 | U | General Use Case Diagram |  |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Important | Extend relations (For example, entering mail, address info in creating profile) are missing, and relations among the actors are wrong in use-case diagram | PARTIALLY ACCETPED   &  CORRECTED | Since it is a user-goal level use-case diagram, it should not contain that details.Therefore, it is right as it is.  However, we edited the user parts. We deleted the “registered user, verified user” and added “visitor”. |
| 29 | V | Product Position Statement | 2 |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Medium | One Product Position Statement table is not sufficient. | REJECTED | Both normal users and influencers will have the same interface |
| 30 | V | User Environment | 4 |  | Spelling | Little | No punctuation exists after “Every user should create an account to access the information on the platform, and should be logged in”. | CORRECTED |  |
| 31 | S | System Interfaces | 3 |  | Spelling | Little | No punctuation exists after the sentence. | CORRECTED |  |
| 32 | V,S | Other Project Requirements | 6 |  | External Inconsistency | Medium | There is a requirement “The system is written in Python programming language. It uses MySql as a database.” in the Vision document, but not written in System Wide Requirements. | CORRECTED | We added as “HGG-DEVELOPMENT” |
| 33 | V,S | 5. Other Project Requirements | 6 |  | External Inconsistency | Medium | There is a requirement “System’s Servers will run on Linux and/or Windows-based servers “  in the Vision document, but not written in System Wide Requirements. | CORRECTED | We added as “HGG-SERVER” |
| 34 | V,S | 5. Other Project Requirements | 6 |  | External Inconsistency | Medium | There is a requirement “The system runs on the following browsers  (Chrome, Edge, Mozilla, Opera, Safari)” in the Vision document, but not written in System Wide Requirements. | CORRECTED | We added as “HGG-BROWSER” |
| 35 | V,S | 5. Other Project Requirements | 6 |  | External Inconsistency | Medium | There is a requirement “The app should support Mobile platforms (Android and IOS)” in the Vision document, but not written in System Wide Requirements. | CORRECTED | We added as “HGG-MOBILE” |
| 36 | V,S | 5. Other Project Requirements | 6 |  | External Inconsistency | Medium | There is a requirement “The app will support three languages (English, Turkish, Arabic)” in the Vision document, but not written in System Wide Requirements. | CORRECTED | We added as “HGG-LANGUAGE” |
| 37 | P | Project Organization | 1 |  | Internal Inconsistency | Little | The phrase “This is a social media app for creating and sharing recipes online.” Is more about the introduction part of the project plan | CORRECTED |  |
| 38 | P | Project Organization | 1 |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Medium | The roles of each team member and the general work areas should be explicitly defined in order the reader to comprehend the organization structure. | CORRECTED | We added the roles and responsibilities of our team members. |
| 39 | P | Project Practices and Measurements | 1 |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | İmportant | The practices and measurements of the project should be explained in more detail. The meetings, technical & managemental practices, the tracking of the progress should be defined in detail. | PENDING | TO BE CORRECTED BY THE END OF ITERATION 2 (Risks to be mitigated is added ) |
| 40 | P | Project milestones and objectives | 1 |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Medium | The scheduled start and end dates of the milestones are not defined. | CORRECTED |  |
| 41 | P | Project milestones and objectives | 1 |  | Incorrect | Medium | The target velocities are filled with dates instead of a predefined point (score) format. | CORRECTED | TO BE CORRECTED BY THE END OF ITERATION 2 |
| 42 | P | Project milestones and objectives | 2,3 |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Little | The scheduled start & end dates and the target velocities are left blank. | REJECTED | Duplicated with Item 40 and 41 |
| 43 | P | Project milestones and objectives | 2 |  | Inconsistency with the standards | Little | The indentations of the third iteration is not consistent with the others. | CORRECTED |  |
| 44 | P | Project milestones and objectives | 1,2,3 |  | Uncertain | Medium | In the “risks to be mitigated” parts, the risk should be explained or addressed to another document. | CORRECTED |  |
| 45 | P | Deployment | 3 |  | Spelling (grammar, punctuation, etc.) | Little | “updates” should start with upper-case letter. | CORRECTED |  |
| 46 | P | Deployment | 3 |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Important | The deployment processes should be explained in more detail. The continuous integrations, continuous developments, the version control environments etc. should be defined in detail. | CORRECTED |  |
| 47 | P | Lessons learned | 3 |  | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | Medium | The learned lessons should be explained in more detail. If there are no or very few lessons learned, it should be mentioned so. | CORRECTED |  |